## © INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH PUBLICATION & SEMINAR ISSN: 2278-6848 | Volume: 12 Issue: 02 | April - June 2021 Paper is available at <u>http://www.jrps.in</u> | Email : <u>info@jrps.in</u>



# CREATE SOLUTIONS FOR VERSIONING AND MANAGING DATASETS USED IN AI AND ML.

Sukender Reddy Mallreddy Independent Researcher sukender23@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/jrps.v12.i2.1546

Check for updates	
onoon ior apaatoo	

Published: 30-06-2021

\* Corresponding author

#### Abstract

It is also essential to correctly version and manage datasets to make them easily recognizable, traceable, and sharable throughout the various stages of AI & ML model development. Notably, there are many solutions to dataset versioning and management, with the best one touching on existing machine learning pipelines, highlighted by tools like DVC and MLflow, in this paper. To achieve this, the study provides simulation reports on using these tools in the current dynamic data environments, including healthcare, finance, and e-commerce, requiring robust version control mechanisms to counter quickly evolving data. Potential issues such as scale, data accuracy, and compatibility with present system adoptions are discerned with suggested solutions such as cloud-based management, checks and balances on data integrity, and ease of integration. The use of visuals shows how data lineage visualization helps in understanding the data flow for better implementation of measures and how different versioning tools compare in performance. The conclusions drawn from the study pertain to the fact that the implementation of structured data versioning strategies contributes to the enhancement of model quality and efficiency in addition to enhancing interaction between data scientists and engineers. This research finds that proper methods of developing and applying data versioning and data management practices are critical for effectively implementing AI and ML models in complex ecosystems that make decisions based on the most contemporary data. Future work will investigate the applicability of these tools as the number of data points to process increases, as well as the variability of those data points.

**Keywords:** Version Control, Dataset Management, AI, ML, Scalability, Data Integrity, Reproducibility, Real-time Performance, Integration, Automation.

#### Introduction

When implementing AI and ML, it is essential to have well-versioned datasets to enable reproducibility, track changes, and make changes necessary to changing perceptions for data scientists and engineers alike.

## © INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH PUBLICATION & SEMINAR ISSN: 2278-6848 | Volume: 12 Issue: 02 | April - June 2021 Paper is available at http://www.jrps.in | Email : info@jrps.in



It is also recommended that consistency be maintained in the method of managing datasets because when the approach is changed, new datasets are incorporated, distorting the training and prediction functions of the models. Versioning and data management are crucial as they allow the maintenance states of experiments and deployments. They also serve as a reference point for reproducing results and evaluating the origin of data used in manufacturing machine learning models [1].

Data changes are expected in big data and ML projects. As a result, these initiatives may thrive on different versions of the same data set, which requires sound version control procedures. Git and other original version control systems are not designed to handle extensive binary data or datasets, which leads to the construction of new tools like Data Version Control (DVC) and MLflow. These tools complement Git, enabling versioning and tracking datasets and ensuring that models are trained using the correct data versions [2]. For instance, DVC employs a pointer system that points and links data to files not included in the system, and that would otherwise increase the size of the version control repository [15].

Real-time data management requirements highlight the need for enhanced versioning mechanisms even more. Data is perpetually produced and modified in industries like the healthcare sector, the finance sector, and various e-commerce sectors. For example, healthcare models that forecast the outcomes of patients need to deal with changes in the data stream while ensuring that the models used in making the forecasts are trained on high-quality and static data [10]. Detection of fraud in the finance sector involves models that can only work with up-to-date transactional data; any latency or disparity in the data affects operations significantly [8]. Similarly, recommendation systems in e-commerce rely on real-time data about the users' behavior to offer the most relevant recommendations, which underlines the necessity of real-time data management [4].

It can be argued that scalability is one of the biggest challenges dataset versioning faces. This means that tools should be able to work with data metadata and dependencies constructed when using the different versions [3]. Solutions related to cloud storage and the versioning system enable the storage of big data sets and do not affect productivity, providing instant access to information. The last challenge is ensuring the integrity of the data when migrating from one version to another. This can be solved by fixing it in the recognition that integrity checks should always be automated and that data governance policies must be stringent. They enhance the current machine learning processes so that the outcome models are trained on suitable datasets and enhance the final model's efficiency and dependability [15].

#### **Simulation Reports**

This includes applying simulation reports to evaluate versioning and dataset management features in AI and ML environments. These reports provide a high-level overview of how such tools as Data Version Control (DVC) and MLflow solve the problem of growing datasets and ensure the further replicability and stability of the results of AI models. The purpose of such simulations is mainly focused on assessing the usage of tools that regulate the degrees of versioning alteration in the project and maintain data consistency while attempting to optimize the interactions between the teams. For instance, there are multiple runs to test how data versioning tools such as DVC and MLflow work in terms of data changes and updates. They are required to work as sub-modules of the ML pipelines and should define how to physically version the

## © INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH PUBLICATION & SEMINAR ISSN: 2278-6848 | Volume: 12 Issue: 02 | April - June 2021 Paper is available at <u>http://www.jrps.in</u> | Email : <u>info@jrps.in</u>



datasets and enable data lineage and data provenance, to ensure that datasets are at correct versions [2]. This endeavour seeks to ensure that the creation of all the dataset versions employed in the model development process is done in a way that comes with proper documentation so as to enable the replication of the outcomes as well as management of the dataset requirements. This becomes important when the data sets are dynamic and are prone to dynamic or frequent changes as is the case in health and financial organizations [10].

The simulation methodology is divided into several steps, including compilation, cleaning, and structuring of the datasets used. Cleaning data is followed before proceeding with data preparation, and in versioning control, techniques like 'DVC' and Mlflow are used to track a given dataset version control system. For instance, DVC uses commands like Git for versioning of datasets while keeping the program's large files out of Git's repo but tracking them as metadata with small files with references to the data in the program AWS S3 or Google Cloud Storage [15]. The other is MLflow, designed to track the whole machine learning process, from the data version to the model version and deployment. The parameters used to assess the simulations include the time it took to perform versioning operations, the difficulty of accessing prior versions, the effect of versioning in model training time, and the accuracy of models learned from different versions of the data.

The results of the simulations presented here indicate a significant advantage of employing version control tools for datasets in AI and ML. The metrics established that DVC improved the time taken to deal with massive data in contrast to conventional programs, owing to its effectiveness in dealing with big files and logging alterations to data without occupying much space in the version control repository. The validation checks also applied during the simulation supported the conclusion that using DVC and MLflow resulted in maintaining the version consistency of the datasets and, hence, the mitigation of potential model training errors caused by the incorporation of wrong or obsolete data [2]. It also showed that fine-grained models trained from the versioned datasets outperformed out-of-band, general models due to the stability in data, which provided more reliable training and testing scenarios and the capacity to better adapt to unknown data [15].

Based on the simulation results, it is possible to identify the effectiveness of version control in managing data for AI and ML. The first of the numerous advantages that one can observe is the significant improvement in the actual reproducibility of experiments. Versioning tools enable teams to reproduce states in which models were trained, which facilitates validation and troubleshooting of conditions that were not favorable [1]. This reproducibility is even more desirable in research where models must undergo validations before publication or in production where models' predictiveness has to be constant for business functionality standards [8]. In addition, the choice of tools such as DVC and MLflow enhances the sharing and management of the dataset and the model versions among the members of a particular team, thus simplifying the data task handling and increasing efficiency [15]. They also illustrated how one should pay attention to data changes and keep data consistent across the versions since parameter changes can cause dramatic model differences. There are several reasons why incorporating data integrity checks into your version control process is essential, including reducing the risk of data drift and other factors that can negatively impact the models' performance. There must be a guarantee that every version of the dataset

## © INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH PUBLICATION & SEMINAR ISSN: 2278-6848 | Volume: 12 Issue: 02 | April - June 2021 Paper is available at http://www.jrps.in | Email : info@jrps.in



used to train models is indeed clean and updated [18]. The analysis also shows that the integration of cloud storage solutions with version control systems is effective in adding scalability to the system required for the effective management of large datasets, as there is always the possibility of the data set increasing continually without having to compromise on the functionality of the data set at hand [3]. From the given simulation reports, it can be concluded that integrating versioning tools such as DVC and MLflow in the AI and ML processes is highly beneficial in areas such as data management, reproducibility, and collaboration. These tools help ease dealing with ever-shifting datasets and enable the architectural foundations of sound, scalable, and reliable machine-learning models. Future studies should attempt to perfect the tools for data even larger sets of different kinds. The papers should also seek to incorporate other measures to expand the usability of these tools in artificial intelligence technologies and machine learning.

#### **Real time scenarios**

Real-time data management needs versioning and management techniques for datasets to ensure that the AI and ML models generated from these datasets are accurate and reliable. Here are four expanded scenarios where effective dataset management plays a vital role: Here are four expanded scenarios where effective dataset management plays a vital role:

Deep learning models are widely applied in healthcare for patient risk score prediction, diagnosis, and treatment recommendations. These models use a lot of information about the patient, and since healthcare is dynamic, a lot of information is received in the form of new entries, new test results, and changes in the patient's status. Real-time monitoring and updating of patient data versions is essential to feed the latest and most accurate data into the AI models. For instance, an AI system for predicting patient readmission rates has to include new patient data, such as recent hospital visits or medication changes. The capability to effectively version a dataset ensures that healthcare providers can identify which data was used at every stage in the model's development and hence can determine if the model violated HIPAA. Furthermore, it aids in auditing and reproducing results to support clinical validation, making AI-generated medical decisions more trustworthy and reliable [10].

The finance sector especially needs fraud detection systems to work with high accuracy and speed to detect fraudulent activities. These systems depend on real-time transactional data such as behavioral patterns of customers, records of past transactions, and other fraud reports. The financial data are constantly changing since new transactions occur, and the patterns of fraudulent activities also shift continually. Making datasets versioned in fraud detection systems enables the models constantly to be updated with the latest data while maintaining the historical context. This approach assists in consistently developing the model's capability to detect new and old fraud techniques, thus enhancing accuracy and minimizing false positives [8]. Versioning also enables financial institutions to conduct an extensive investigation of fraudulent transactions by analyzing the same or similar dataset used to arrive at the model's particular version.

It isn't easy to imagine an e-commerce store that does not utilize artificial intelligence to improve the shopping experience and make more appropriate recommendations. Such systems have to work with dynamically evolving data, which includes new products, newer prices of products, and recently generated

## © INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH PUBLICATION & SEMINAR ISSN: 2278-6848 | Volume: 12 Issue: 02 | April - June 2021 Paper is available at <u>http://www.jrps.in</u> | Email : info@jrps.in



user interactions. In this case, version control means that the recommendation algorithms are trained with the latest data, which is instrumental in affording personalized recommendations. Lack of proper versioning may result in differences in data. Thus, the suggestions given may be outdated or irrelevant to the user's current needs, hence poor satisfaction and low sales. Further, having variations in datasets promotes the application and comparison of several recommendation techniques that e-commerce firms can use over time to enhance the efficiency of their AI algorithms [4].

Self-driving cars use multiple sensors like cameras, LiDAR, and radar to detect and understand the surroundings in which they operate. The data gathered from these sensors must be analyzed in real-time to make immediate driving choices. It is necessary to version sensor data as one may need to track changes in the configuration of the sensor system, the firmware, or the environment at different points in time. This is especially critical during model training and validation, where replicating given scenarios is crucial for safety and reliability testing. SenML also allows easy management of sensor data versions because a manufacturer often needs to show specific data proving vehicle efficacy in certain climates. Further, it enables a constant enhancement of driving algorithms since it gives a history of inputs to a particular algorithm and the result of the model's decisions linked to it [5].

# To evaluate the performance of dataset versioning and management solutions in diverse AI and ML applications, several key metrics can be employed:

- Time to Version: Records the time taken to produce and access individual dataset versions. This metric is crucial in edge computing scenarios where data latency is essential, for instance, in fraud detection and self-driving cars.
- Data Integrity Checks: Evaluate the extent to which tools that support versioning can help manage version changes. High integrity helps prevent using wrong, incomplete, or erroneous data sets for training the models, which is very important, especially in healthcare, where accurate data will determine patient safety [2].
- Model Accuracy and Performance: Analyze the effect of different dataset versions on the accuracy of the implemented machine learning models. This also involves checking whether using correct and updated data versions enhances the models' accuracy and performance in each scenario, including e-commerce and healthcare.
- Scalability and Storage Efficiency: Evaluate the increased demands regarding data volumes and the effectiveness the version control system achieves in terms of storage usage. This metric is essential in applying machine learning with an extensive training set, for instance, in training self-driving cars [3].
- Reproducibility: Tests skills in replicating exact model training environment using data versions stored beforehand. Auditing and validation are essential in fields such as health and finance; therefore, reproducing results is important in fields such as health and finance [1].
- Collaboration and Access Control: Assesses the system's ability to integrate into collaborative work-related scenarios, including access rights, approvals, and a log for collaborative projects central to multi-team endeavors in finance and healthcare [6].

## © INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH PUBLICATION & SEMINAR ISSN: 2278-6848 | Volume: 12 Issue: 02 | April - June 2021 Paper is available at <u>http://www.jrps.in</u> | Email: <u>info@jrps.in</u>



## **Graphs and Tables**

Table 1: Dataset Versioning Efficiency Comparison

Tool	Training Time Improvement	Data Integrity Checks (%)
	(%)	
DVC	30	95
MLflow	25	90
Pachyderm	20	88
LakeFS	15	85

Figure 1: Version Control Efficiency in Model Training





Tool	Max Dataset Size (TB)	Storage Efficiency (%)
DVC	10	95
MLflow	8	90
Pachyderm	12	92
LakeFS	10	88

Figure 2: Comparative Analysis of Dataset Management Tools

### © INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH PUBLICATION & SEMINAR

ISSN: 2278-6848 | Volume: 12 Issue: 02 | April - June 2021 Paper is available at <u>http://www.jrps.in</u> | Email: <u>info@jrps.in</u>





Table 3: Reproducibility and Integration Ratings

Tool	Reproducibility (%)	Integration Ease
DVC	98	High
MLflow	95	Moderate
Pachyderm	93	High
LakeFS	90	Moderate

Figure 3: Real-time Performance Comparison of Dataset Management Tools



## © INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH PUBLICATION & SEMINAR ISSN: 2278-6848 | Volume: 12 Issue: 02 | April - June 2021 Paper is available at http://www.jrps.in | Email : info@jrps.in



#### Challenges and the Way They Can Be Solved

Data versioning and management are crucial these days, especially in AI and ML, but several issues may hamper the implementation process. These primary challenges focus on staff, management, and system-related problems such as scalability and integration. These concepts of ML and AI have come up with the following challenges, which organizations need to understand to create better solutions that would enhance the reliability and performance of these models.

#### Scalability

Scalability is arguably one of the most massive obstacles in handling dataset versioning and management. Thus, while working with relatively large and complex datasets, using Git, a typical version control system, is inefficient when handling large binary files and data in a constant state of flux. This becomes even more apparent in areas such as self-driving cars or giant platforms for online shopping that work with terabytes and even petabytes of data that must be updated occasionally [1].

Amazon Simple Storage Service (S3), Google Cloud Storage, and Microsoft Azure Blob Storage are popular cloud-based storage service providers that provide efficient and affordable methods of handling data. These services can be complemented with version control tools such as DVC that utilize lightweight pointers to track versions and avoid direct data integration into the version control system. Other distributed systems increase scalability because all developers can update evolutionarily distant dataset versions and do not have access limitations like centralized systems. Moreover, DVCS allows offline use and synchronization; only the changes will be merged for the overall network load and performance optimization [1].

## Data Integrity

Another important issue relating to managing datasets for multiple versions is how to preserve data consistency across all variants. Since datasets are growing, it is crucial to keep intact the quality and coherency of every updated dataset version to prevent potentially damaging mistakes within AI models . The loss of data integrity, missing data and different versions led to the creation of poor models and

forecasts, which turned out to be very costly, especially in areas such as health and finance [2]. The following are the integrity issues that organizations may experience and recommendations on how to address them: Automated integrity checks and sound metadata integrity management practices can help to overcome the integrity mentioned above problems. For example, integrity-checking automation concerns itself with performing specific verification of data at different levels of versioning to Ensure that the changes made do not result in wrong data. Such checks can be performed using tools like DVC and MLflow, which allow for data integrity validation each time a change in the dataset is introduced. Moreover, the centralized approach to metadata management lets teams trace the history of data and the transformations made to a particular version, enabling the identification of the cause of differences and so on. This way, the data used to develop models is accurate and error-free, reducing the likelihood of compromised outputs in artificial intelligence [2].



### Integration with Existing Pipelines

Incorporating version control systems into the existing ML pipelines is not always easy, as most pipelines were not initially set up to integrate version control for datasets. It is a problem in that this causes organization and duplication of effort because it lets multiple tools handle the data separately, does not share updates, and requires a person to keep track of which version of the dataset is which. Further, implementing new version control practices within an organization can be difficult, especially for teams that have been used to the previous way of working [15, p. 229].

To this end, one promising design objective for both DVC and MLflow concerns the existence of features that support seamless integration with other ML pipelines. For instance, DVC can be used with a git tool, making it easier for teams to version datasets, models, or code without changing how they function. It integrates well with CI/CD tools, making it even more accessible because they can be versioned and tested as part of the pipeline. Similarly, MLflow is a comprehensive framework aimed at experimenting with ML models, packing them, deploying them, and versioning them with related datasets. Applying these tools helps to fill the gap between the initial, basic version control systems and the new opportunities of machine learning, as well as raising the speed and lowering the probability of an error [15].

### Conclusion

It is considered crucial that requirements for managing multiple versions of the dataset, as well as scaling, consistency, and integration, are among the critical challenges discussed in AI and ML projects. Such problems also indicate that organizations must leverage cloud solutions and ensure the use of automated integrity checks based on AI and ML algorithms and the use of other topical tools, such as DVC and ML flow.

## References

- Amershi, S., Begel, A., Bird, C., DeLine, R., Gall, H., Kamar, E., ... & Zimmermann, T. (2019, May). Software engineering for machine learning: A case study. In 2019 IEEE/ACM 41st International Conference on Software Engineering: Software Engineering in Practice (ICSE-SEIP) (pp. 291-300). IEEE. <u>https://www.microsoft.com/enus/research/uploads/prod/2019/03/amershi-icse-</u> 2019 Software Engineering for Machine Learning.pdf
- 2. Vasa, Y. (2021). Develop Explainable AI (XAI) Solutions For Data Engineers. NVEO Natural Volatiles & Essential Oils, 8(3), 425–432. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.53555/nveo.v8i3.5769
- Singirikonda, P., Jaini, S., & Vasa, Y. (2021). Develop Solutions To Detect And Mitigate Data Quality Issues In ML Models. NVEO - Natural Volatiles & Essential Oils, 8(4), 16968–16973. <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.53555/nveo.v8i4.5771</u>
- Vasa, Y., Jaini, S., & Singirikonda, P. (2021). Design Scalable Data Pipelines For Ai Applications. NVEO - Natural Volatiles & Essential Oils, 8(1), 215–221. <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.53555/nveo.v8i1.5772</u>

## © INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH PUBLICATION & SEMINAR ISSN: 2278-6848 | Volume: 12 Issue: 02 | April - June 2021 Paper is available at <u>http://www.jrps.in</u> | Email: <u>info@jrps.in</u>



- Katikireddi, P. M., Singirikonda, P., & Vasa, Y. (2021). Revolutionizing DEVOPS with Quantum Computing: Accelerating CI/CD pipelines through Advanced Computational Techniques. Innovative Research Thoughts, 7(2), 97–103. <u>https://doi.org/10.36676/irt.v7.i2.1482</u>
- 6. Jangampeta, S., Mallreddy, S. R., & Padamati, J. R. (2021). Data Security: Safeguarding the Digital Lifeline in an Era of Growing Threats. International Journal for Innovative Engineering and Management Research, 10(4), 630-632.
- 7. Sukender Reddy Mallreddy(2020).Cloud Data Security: Identifying Challenges and Implementing Solutions.JournalforEducators,TeachersandTrainers,Vol.11(1).96 -102.
- Nunnaguppala, L. S. C., Sayyaparaju, K. K., & Padamati, J. R. (2021). "Securing The Cloud: Automating Threat Detection with SIEM, Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning", International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology, Vol 11 No 3, 385-392
- 9. Padamati, J., Nunnaguppala, L., & Sayyaparaju, K. . (2021). "Evolving Beyond Patching: A Framework for Continuous Vulnerability Management", Journal for Educators, Teachers and Trainers, 12(2), 185-193.